

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,672	07/14/2003	Hartwig Kunzel	239385US55CONT	3574
22850	7590 02/03/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			KILIMAN, LESZEK B	
.,	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
.122/11/21	,		1773	

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/617,672	KUNZEL ET AL.			
omec Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAIL INC DATE of the	leszek b kiliman	1773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•	•			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This a	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the morito is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>34-65</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-65</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is at 1 to 1					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a)⊠ Ali b)∟ Some * c)∟ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2/a))					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.					
37 OTK 1.70.					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.					
	The second of the diff Application	Data Offeet. 37 OFK 1.78.			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (DTO 200)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (F	PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1.	5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pat 6) ☐ Other:	ent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	· —	•			
PTOL 226 (Day, 44,00)	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 2			

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 34-65 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 34-45 of copending Application No. 10/617659. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of both applications claim essentially the same method. Please note that claim 62 of SN 10/617672 specifically refers to a printed pattern. In a method of constructing a new building applying a film to a structure of said building would necessarily involve application of film to the wall..

Application/Control Number: 10/617,672

Art Unit: 1773

Page 3

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. Claims 34-65 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 34-64 of copending Application No. 10/617670, claims 34-64 of copending application SN 10/617671, claims 34-64 of copending application SN 10/617670, claims 34-64 of copending application SN 10617673. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the copending application 10/617670 claims essentially the same invention as the pending application. Claim 34 of SN10/617672 lacks the carrier material. However, claim 37 claims carrier materials identical to carrier materials of SN 10/617670, 10/617671, 10/617673. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to omit carrier material in claims of the pending application SN 10/617672, since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art..

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,672

Art Unit: 1773

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to leszek b kiliman whose telephone number is 703-308-2373. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, 6.30-5.00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, paul thibodeau can be reached on 703-308-2367. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-8729310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-3080661.

lk

Lesz !